UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,780	02/24/2006	Miguel De Vega Rodrigo	2003P12437 (NSN)	5979
	7590 10/13/201 E NBERG STEMER LI	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2480			EBRAHIM, ANEZ C	
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2467	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/569,780	RODRIGO, MIGUEL DE VEGA		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ANEZ EBRAHIM	2467		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are perionally in the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	-		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	n from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ee. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application -·		

Application/Control Number: 10/569,780 Page 2

Art Unit: 2467

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 9-18 have been examined and are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2467

Claims 9-11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being un patentable over US Patent 6671256 Xiong et al ((here in after "Xiong, S Patent 6882766 Corbalis et al (here in after "Corbalis") and further in view of US PG Publication US 20020114043 A1 Kozaki et al (hereinafter "Kozaki")

As per claim 9 (currently amended) Xiong teaches a method for transmitting data packets between a first communications network node (Fig 2, Box 105, communication node on NET 1)and a second communications network node (Fig 2, Box 115, Communication node on NET 2) of an communications optical network (Fig 2, Box), comprising:

reserving a data channel, for transmitting a first data burst having aggregated data packet (Column 2, line 14-25, reserving data channels in an optical burst-switched network and finally initial burst is reached the terminating node from through the reserved channel);

transmitting the first data burst via the data channel (Column 6, line 47-48, burst data are transmitted through the data channel 205 as per Fig 2 which is an optical communication network);

retaining the data channel for a consecutive transmission phase after transmitting the first data burst (Column 10, line 9-10, the channel is reserved or retained for the data transfer and Colum 9, line 14-17, if the reserved channel to be terminated it sends an unreserved channel bit so until that bit is not sent the channel is retained);

Page 4

Xiong is silent but Corbalis teaches terminating the connection while data packets are transmitted on-the-fly when the data channel is at least partially required for transmitting a second data burst via another connection between further communication network nodes (Column 1, line 56-59, existing connection is terminated for the establishment for a new connection setup).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention, to modify the system of Xiong by a terminating the connection only when the data channel is at least partially required for transmitting a second data burst between a third communications network node and a fourth communications network node of new connection, as suggested by Corbalis. This modification would benefit the system of Xiong for high speed data transfer in an optical network there by helping to minimize the cost and reuse of the existing switch fabric.

Combination of Xiong and Corbalis is silent but Kozaki teaches transmitting additional non-aggregated data packets on-the-fly between the nodes during the consecutive transmission phase (Para [0014], burst data may be transmitted on real time property or on the fly such that state that a delay is reduced as much as possible);

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention, to modify the combination of Xiong and Corbalis by transmitting additional non-aggregated data packets on-the-fly between the nodes during the consecutive transmission phase, as suggested by Kozaki. This modification would benefit the combination of Xiong and Corbalis for optical burst transmission in which periodic data can be multiplexed from one station to another station (Kozaki, Para [0020]).

Application/Control Number: 10/569,780

As per claim 10, previously presented) combination of Xiong, Corbalis and Kozaki teaches the method according to claim 9, Xiong further teaches wherein a request to reserve transmission capacity for the new connection is sent by a reservation-requiring network node via switching devices of the network to an end node (Xiong, Column 2, line 15-19, reservation request from an electronic ingress edge router to a reservation termination node), wherein the third node is the reservation-requiring network node, and wherein the fourth node is the end node (Fig 2, Box 105 is requesting node and Box 115 is a end node which receives the request).

As per claim 11 (previously presented) combination of Xiong, Corbalis and Kozaki teaches the method according to claim 10, Xiong further teaches wherein transmission capacity for the new connection is only reserved during the consecutive transmission phase (Column 2, line 16-19, a data channel along the optical path in optical burst network is reserved for transmission of burst traffic).

As per claim 14. (previously presented) combination of Xiong, Corbalis and Kozaki teaches the method according to claim 10, Xiong further teaches wherein transmission capacity is reserved according to a two-way reservation optical burst switching principle via a request and an acknowledgement (Column 8, line 22-25, signaling processor may be required to send back an acknowledgement when it receives an DCR-Request to reserve a data channel).

4. Claims 12-13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being un patentable over Xiong, Corbalis, Kozaki and further in view of US Patent 6167042 to Garland et al (here in after "Garland").

As per claim 12. (previously presented) combination of Xiong, Corbalis and Kozaki teaches the method according to claim 10, The combination does not teach but Garland teaches a method wherein a disconnect signal is transmitted via the switching devices present in the devices present in the first connection to the first node (*Column 5, line 47-50, disconnect signal is sent through the switch goes to the customer premise equipment*).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention, to modify the combination of system of Xiong, Corbalis and Kozaki by wherein a disconnect signal is transmitted via the switching devices present in the devices present in the first connection to the first node, as suggested by Garland This modification would benefit the system of Xiong, Corbalis and Kozaki for better connection management in a switch fabric

As per claim 13. (previously presented) combination of Xiong, Corbalis and Kozaki teaches the method according to claim 11, combination is silent but Garland teaches wherein a disconnect signal is transmitted via the switching devices present in the devices present in the first connection to the first node (*Column 5, line 47-50, disconnect signal is sent through the switch goes to the customer premise equipment*).

Examiner supplies the same motivation as supplied in claim 12.

As per claim 18. (previously presented) combination of Xiong, Corbalis and Kozaki teaches the method according to claim 12, Xiong further teaches wherein a disconnect signal is only sent when an acknowledgement is issued by the end node receiving a request to reserve the transmission capacity Column 10, line 5-9, a data channel reservation acknowledgement is transmitted from the reservation termination node which can be used to send a disconnect signal).

5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being un patentable over Xiong, Corbalis, Kozaki and further view of US PG Publication US 20030007219 A1 Stilling (here in after "Stilling").

As per claim 15. (previously presented) combination of Xiong, Corbalis and Kozaki teaches the method according to claim 14, combination is silent but Stilling teaches wherein the transmission capacity is reserved for bidirectional connections (Para[0009], the significant advantage of the optical transmission system according to the present invention is that, for setting up a bidirectional connection path).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention, to modify the combination of system of Xiong, Corbalis and Kozaki by wherein the transmission capacity is reserved for bidirectional connections, as suggested by Stilling. This modification would benefit the combination of system of

Art Unit: 2467

Xiong, Corbalis and Kozaki for high speed data network assignment of the wavelengths for the forward and backward directions, as a result of which a rapid and simple connection setup can be carried out via the bidirectional connection path.

Page 8

5. Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xiong, Corbalis, Kozaki and further in view of US Patent 6167042 to Garland.

As per claim 16. (previously presented) the combination of Xiong, Corbalis and Stilling teaches method according to claim 15, The combination does not teach but Garland teaches wherein to reserve the transmission capacity, the disconnect signal is sent to the first and second nodes (Column 7, line 28-35, switch sends disconnect signal to both customer premise equipment).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention, to modify the combination of system of Xiong, Corbalis ,Kozaki and Stilling by wherein the transmission capacity is reserved for bidirectional connections, as suggested by Garland. This modification would benefit the system of Xiong, Corbalis ,Kozaki and Stilling for better connection management and resource sharing in a switch fabric.

As per claim 17. (previously presented) the combination of Xiong, Corbalis and Stilling teaches method according to claim 16, Xiong further teaches wherein a

Art Unit: 2467

disconnect signal is only sent when an acknowledgement is issued by the end node receiving the request to reserve the transmission capacity (Column 10, line 5-9, a data channel reservation acknowledgement is transmitted from the reservation termination node which can be used to send a disconnect signal).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed regarding the claim 9 have been fully considered but moot in view of new rejections.

Conclusion

7. Prior arts made of record, not relied upon: US Patent US 6898205 B1; US Patent US 7050718 B2; US Patent US 7263289 B2, US Patent Publication US 20030128981 A1

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANEZ EBRAHIM whose telephone number is (571)270-7153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 AM to 5 PM If attempts to

Application/Control Number: 10/569,780 Page 10

Art Unit: 2467

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pankaj Kumar can be reached on (571) 272-3011. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ACE/

/Pankaj Kumar/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2467